

## Faculty Perspectives on Publishing Open Access – Webinar Chat

---

steven bell [philadelphia]:Do articles published in the green zone also require an APC

Rick Anderson [Salt Lake City, UT]:+1 on Steven Bell's question

**Poll Question: Do you have formal open access policies at your institution?**

Carmen Mitchell [San Marcos, CA]:We are working on one....but not yet.

D'Arcy Hutchings [Anchorage, Alaska]:I believe we will before long - but we don't yet!

Jeff Rubin [Tulane University]:we do

madeline cohen [new york]:We have an OA policy for the library

Beth Johns [University Center]:We don't have an institutional repository-- so that's why we don't have a policy.

Collette Mak [Notre Dame]:we do, too--just for the library

Rick Anderson [Salt Lake City, UT]:Is that supposed to be "volumes" or "volume"?

Beth Johns [University Center]:I didn't think of having one just for the library!

Collette Mak [Notre Dame]:We want one for the university but thought we could start with ourselves

Barbara Kenney [Bristol]:How are you defining a "predatory" journal?

Camille Gamboa [SAGE]:Thanks for the Q, Steven and Rick - have added to the queue (and greetings!)

katya Pereyaslavskaya [Scholars Portal, OCUL, Toronto, ON]:you are cutting out

Lynn Lease [Lima, Ohio]:Great slides! Thanks for the visuals!

Camille Gamboa [SAGE]:Link to the journal mentioned by Alan: <https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/educational-neuroscience/journal202455>

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:Barbara, See Beall's criteria for determining predatory OA Publishers: <https://scholarlyoa.files.wordpress.com/2015/01/criteria-2015.pdf>

Lynn Lease [Lima, Ohio]:What is the #hashtag for this webinar?

Leigh-Anne Yacovelli [Pottstown, PA]:Never understood having to pay to access your own work ....

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:Yes

Bruce Herbert [Texas A&M]:#sagetalks

Fu Zhuo [Kansas City]:Yes

michele mrazik grasso [Center Valley, PA]:)

Hasmik Galstyan [Yerevan, Armenia]:yes

D'Arcy Hutchings [Anchorage, Alaska]:We need a better view of the meerkats!

steven bell [philadelphia]:Fortunatley for Edison he did not have to start by rebuilding a system that was already broken (well, he had to convince people elec was better and safer than gas)

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:so true, Stephen

Callie Wiygul [Los Angeles, California]:Perfect visual. A+

steven bell [philadelphia]:But what's making the predatory practices so effective and attractive to faculty....we know the answer to that one but we're not ready to address it.

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:Stephen, it seems to be the "elephant in the room"

Helen Muyia [College Station]:Great insights, Daly!

Lynn Lease [Lima, Ohio]:Thank you, Alan!

Helen Muyia [College Station]:Im with you on this one, Daly

Collette Mak [Notre Dame]:to me OA and altmetrics are two sides of the same coin

steven bell [philadelphia]:That wasn't exactly "stop publish or perish" but good thoughts - change the faculty culture to emphasize sharing and openness

Tricia Boucher [San Marcos, TX]:how do we get P&T committees to take OA seriously?

Tricia Boucher [San Marcos, TX]:(Because often they don't...)

Tricia Boucher [San Marcos, TX]:(...particularly in the Humanities, where there is little government funding so OA is not required...)

Rick Anderson [Salt Lake City, UT]:Changing faculty culture from the library is a touchy business. It typically requires champions from within the teaching faculty--otherwise, it too easily comes across as finger-wagging.

Tricia Boucher [San Marcos, TX]::)

Erin Gallagher [Winter Park, Florida]:Finger wagging or a sales pitch.

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:Actually, NEH is now asking that books become OA when funded

Catherine M [Ithaca]:Can we transform the Cooperative Extensions into Scholarly Communication outlets for all information (more than Ag)?

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:It really goes by discipline

Tricia Boucher [San Marcos, TX]:Perhaps offering journal vetting as a service to P&T committees?

steven bell [philadelphia]:@Rick - which is why I like hearing faculty promote that idea

Collette Mak [Notre Dame]:Likely the grant funding agencies are going to make this a fete accompli

Rick Anderson [Salt Lake City, UT]:@Steven -- Absolutely.

Collette Mak [Notre Dame]:This looks just like Crossing the Chasm's adoption model

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:Do you have librarians engaged in that work?

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:Do you have a list of criteria for what is deemed "Reputable" for your scholars?

Jonas Lamb [Juneau]:It may have been covered but the OAKFund was institutionally supported by Office of

Scholarly Communication, or Foundation funding, not library budget, correct? Seems like the vetting of recommending a reputable OA journal was seen as a value added service, very cool.

Sarah Potvin [College Station]:OAKFund is funded at Texas A&M by the University Libraries and the Vice President for Research

Rick Davis [Towson, MD]:Publishing in gold OA journals is a laudable goal, but what about green OA? According to Peter Suber, most toll-access journal publishers now allow authors to self-archive in OA repositories. That's seems like a much easier sell to faculty to me.

Jonas Lamb [Juneau]:Thanks Sarah.

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:True, Rick

Jonas Lamb [Juneau]:Is anyone participating in Collabra from UC PRes, <http://www.collabra.org/>?

Mary Linn Bergstrom [UC San Diego La Jolla CA]:yes!

linda hauck [villanova]:Does the OAK fund cover hybrid journals APC? We have a small one and we don't cover them...

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:yup

Eric Hartnett [College Station]:No, the OAK Fund does not cover hybrid journals

Bruce Herbert [Texas A&M]:Thanks Eric

Mary Linn Bergstrom [UC San Diego La Jolla CA]:re: Collabra - not sure exactly what that participation means yet, but we're in.

Elizabeth York [Chickasha]:Agreed, Rick. For smaller institutions especially, Gold OA is still not economically sustainable.

Collette Mak [Notre Dame]:The whole field is changing because the traditional metrics are inadequate.

Jonas Lamb [Juneau]:I was curious about the pay if forward process by which faculty reviewing or serving as peer-reviewers earn credit for their institution to cover publishing/author fees

Helen Muyia [College Station]:How much do you fund for publishing in Open Access and how does one go about applying for the fund?

Collette Mak [Notre Dame]:Researchers need to build publication costs into their grant proposals

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:at our school altmetrics are not considered other than as supplementary data in the P&T process

linda hauck [villanova]:what tools do you use to identify quality gold OA other than, DOAJ, ROAD, Cabell's ,Scopus & JCR?

Alicia Kubas [Fargo]:how can you build a peer review process into open access?

Rick Anderson [Salt Lake City, UT]:I'd be interested to hear the panelists address the question of CC BY licensing, which is regarded by an increasing number of funders and OA publishers as an essential feature of OA. Authors don't seem to like that very much (when they understand that it's the case), and I suspect that's having some impact on adoption.

Leigh-Anne Yacovelli [Pottstown, PA]:I hope we cover peer reviews in open access

steven bell [philadelphia]:If faculty want their research to have a broader societal impact, then they need to ensure society can access their research. That's quite different from only being focused on how your research advances your disciplinary reputation.

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:I'd like to hear about whether Beall's List has impacted panelist's perspectives

Bruce Herbert [Texas A&M]:Hi Helen. \$3k per faculty per year.

Tricia Boucher [San Marcos, TX]:Has DOAJ finished updating their list with the new criteria?

Rick Anderson [Salt Lake City, UT]:@Tricia -- Yes.

Jane Lawless [Milton MA]:how are gold OA and hybrid OA different? they both seem to rely on payment to place content in a repository

Amanda Izenstark [Kingston, RI]:Two issues we have are faculty not wanting to share their information too freely as it may limit publication opportunities later (mostly in the Humanities) and the cost of publishing.

Bruce Herbert [Texas A&M]:Hi Susan. We have used Beall's list a bit to judge OA journals we support through our OAKFund.

Alice Creason [Lewis University]:Amanda, this is exactly the issue we face.

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:ALL DOAJ is self-reported stuff from publishers so it's still not all that reliable

Jane Lawless [Milton MA]:same here Amanda

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:Thanks, Bruce

Leigh-Anne Yacovelli [Pottstown, PA]:@steven bell - it sounds like faculty care more about the job than society's access

Bruce Herbert [Texas A&M]:OAKFund Report: <http://oaktrust.library.tamu.edu/handle/1969.1/154915>

linda hauck [villanova]:thanks for that link Bruce

Collette Mak [Notre Dame]:Web of Science just started to add altmetrics, exported to citation managers and prints

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:yes they do, Leigh. It's about survival in a very competitive world

Lisa Gonzalez [Chicago]:You do have to provide some documentation when you apply for a DOAJ listing - they ask specifically for a link to a statement that you don't charge an article processing fee.

Wen D [US]:As faculty, yes. After I get tenure, I may think about open access. Since it's not valued here before then, I'm not considering it.

Bruce Herbert [Texas A&M]:We installed Plum Analytics here at TAMU. Faculty use it for rapid insight to impact and in disciplines where citations are not available, such as performance studies.

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:Is Plum Analytics expensive?

Collette Mak [Notre Dame]:Oh gawd yes, the timeline! FRUSTRATING

Leigh-Anne Yacovelli [Pottstown, PA]:I need Shannon's slides! Please say they'll be available in SlideShare or through the webinar follow-up ... ?

Carmen Mitchell [San Marcos, CA]:I would use some caution with Beall's list. <http://blog.scielo.org/en/2015/08/04/jeffrey-beall-and-blacklists/>

Callie Wiygul [Los Angeles, California]:In the throes of a publication timeline now - the struggle is real!

Lisa Gonzalez [Chicago]:Open access journals that I have submitted to try to be competitive as far as turn around time.

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:Trust but verify?

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:Love the Old Boys Club visual

Collette Mak [Notre Dame]:I have an article at a large OA publisher, been three weeks in 'editor invited' so not always fast

Rick Anderson [Salt Lake City, UT]:@Leigh-Anne -- I suspect that almost every faculty member cares more about their job than about society's access to their work. Otherwise they'd just put all their work up on a blog and be done with it. :-)

steven bell [philadelphia]:How would an editor know it's your manuscript? Isn't it blind peer review?

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:There are now fake impact factor sites too

Joseph Thomas [Greenville]:@steven, of course with specialized research agendas and other clues from the manuscript, blind peer review isn't always blind

Heather Tompkins [St. Paul, MN]:Thank you for raising gender as a part of this process.

Leigh-Anne Yacovelli [Pottstown, PA]:@steven someone send the manuscript to reviewers. Having a previously published name on a newbie's article will increase the chance of it getting forwarded to the reviewers.

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:Blind peer review is considered more prestigious

Leigh-Anne Yacovelli [Pottstown, PA]:@Rick :'(

Callie Wiygul [Los Angeles, California]:YES. Glad you've mentioned gender as well

steven bell [philadelphia]:@Joseph - i suppose that happens in narrow fields but Shannon seemed to suggest she may have a better shot to be published if the editors know her. That's a bit different (unless she means the editor who does know you and farms out your work to peer reviewers who shouldn't know you)

Camille Gamboa [SAGE]:Hi - yes, all slides will be available on the SAGE blog in the coming week: <http://connection.sagepub.com/>

Bruce Herbert [Texas A&M]:Amanda: I was once discussing sharing research data per federal mandates and a faculty member stated he would "share his data over my dead body"....funny.

Helen Muyia [College Station]:Oh yes, Shannon, I hear you.

Erin Gallagher [Winter Park, Florida]:Ask a librarian!

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:That's what we librarians can do..I research OA journals for my faculty members

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:YES!

Collette Mak [Notre Dame]:data sharing is a major barrier, you spend a LOT of time, money and effort getting the dataset ready and you want to publish all you can

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:what's really sad is when a department chair asks about a journal in a tenure case and it turns out to be predatory and the scholar's reputation is hurt

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:External letters for tenure cases talk about the quality of the publications too

Bruce Herbert [Texas A&M]:Getting tenure was great in so many ways

Callie Wiygul [Los Angeles, California]:This was great, Shannon.

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:Nice overview

Rebecca Kennison [New York]:The same way scholars know a toll-access journal is reputable is the same way to know an OA journal is. That's why I don't understand the predatory concern.

Lynn Lease [Lima, Ohio]:What defines a predatory journal?

Leigh-Anne Yacovelli [Pottstown, PA]:@Camille thanks

Cathy Wojewodzki [Univ. of Delaware]:Good job, Shannon. Very helpful for we librarians. Please remember we can help with information about journals.

Elizabeth Hawley [Gainesville, FL] 2:One's chair should make these inquiries during evaluation meetings....

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:Definitions are based on various criteria.  
See: <https://scholarlyoa.files.wordpress.com/2015/01/criteria-2015.pdf>

linda hauck [villanova]:not sure how reliable it is but Cabell's reports that..probably not as good as asking around

Jennifer Bazeley [Oxford, OH]:why are faculty wary of online-only journals? plenty of subscription online-only journals with high impact factors, peer review, good rep, etc. Does the existence of print format still make a difference?

Rick Anderson [Salt Lake City, UT]:Beall's List is definitely imperfect, but it's also an essential source. Faculty need to know it's there, at least as a starting point for checking out OA journals.

Rebecca Kennison [New York]:Some toll-access journals also do not do peer review. Some also do not have an impact factor (in fact, most journals do not have an impact factor, since Thomson only covers a subset of journals).

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:Predatory journals have a 100% acceptance rate! Just pay up and it's done!

michele mrazik grasso [Center Valley, PA]:yes!

Zach English [Boca Raton, FL]:I'm interested in the new arXiv overlay journals. They're peer reviewed by experts but the hosting and publishing occurs on an existing repository.

Helen Muyia [College Station]:Bruce, do you have a way of checking open access journals to identify those that are reputable and those that are not?

Aaron McCollough [University of Illinois]:@Rebecca Agreed, except that many (most?) treat "brand" as a proxy measure for quality (as opposed to taking a critical approaching to content itself)

H. Stephen [UI Springfield]:cant you get an idea of turn around time based on the pre-pub electronic information ... won't give you review time but at least an idea of how long till it is in the traditional print area...

Rebecca Kennison [New York]:Predatory journals are all open. You can see whether the quality is one you value, no?

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:Yes but toll access journals archive, preserve, copy edit and offer services that are not available with a lot of fraudulent journals

Glenn Fischer [Livonia, MI]:What about social networking enabled sites/services like Research Gate, Mendeley, etc. for gathering publication information and publishing experiences?

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:They depend on subscriptions and if the journal is crap they lose money and subscribers

Rebecca Kennison [New York]:Many also do not do copyediting, are not part of preservation scheme and so on.

Rebecca Kennison [New York]:Toll access, I mean.

Joseph Thomas [Greenville]:@Susan, unless the crappy journals are buried in big deals?

Chris Parker [Chicago]:So based on that comment, how should we interpret the "received" date (presumably equating to the 'manuscript received' date) included within published articles - like Shannon's own recent article, for instance

Rick Anderson [Salt Lake City, UT]:This is an essential point. Campus leaders have the capacity to affect incentives.

Helen Muyia [College Station]:YES, YES, and YEs, Daly

Julia Lovett [kingston, RI]:my colleague created a helpful guide on evaluating OA journals - <http://uri.libguides.com/oafund/oajournals>

Dianne Ford [Elon NC]:We'd love to also get the content of the chat conversation

Elizabeth Hawley [Gainesville, FL] 2:Yes to chat request -- will it be accessible?

steven bell [philadelphia]:@Rick - you'd think saving millions of \$\$\$ on journal subscription would be an incentive for leaders to drive the change.

Susan Allison [Sanger, TX]:Is there a complete list of official OA journals in any database?

Shirley Zhao [Salt Lake City, UT]:the DOAJ?

Wayne Johnston [Guelph]:Another useful resource: <https://www.gvsu.edu/library/sc/open-access-journal-quality-indicators-5.htm>

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:Predatory OA journals made more than Elsevier in 2014 and scholars got a heck of a lot less as authors

Aaron McCollough [University of Illinois]:How are you defining "official" Susan?

Rick Anderson [Salt Lake City, UT]:@Steven -- If such savings would materialize either quickly or in direct response to local changes, I think it would incentivize them tremendously. But the promise of OA is one of very gradual and non-localized change in the short run.

Leigh-Anne Yacovelli [Pottstown, PA]:I have always come across the reason to say no to OA is lack of peer-review. Can anyone confirm or explain how this might not be so?

Susan Allison [Sanger, TX]:non-predatory

Suzanne Kozaitis [melbourne]:Is DOAJ a good place to look?

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]: A lot of junk science (anti climate change, for example) shows up in predatory OA journals

Aaron McCollough [University of Illinois]:Susan, I think the definitions are complicated... I hope we'll discuss that a bit more

Jonathan Harwell [Rollins College, Winter Park, FL]:Leigh-Anne, peer review is common in OA journals...the cost does not correlate with the quality

Catherine M [Ithaca]:Is there a direct connection between OA publishing and civic engagement? Interesting to consider.

Shirley Zhao [Salt Lake City, UT]:<https://doaj.org/about>

Joseph Thomas [Greenville]:@Leigh-Anne: the business model and the peer review model are independent

Leigh-Anne Yacovelli [Pottstown, PA]:@Jonathan thanks

Susan Allison [Sanger, TX]:OK

steven bell [philadelphia]:@Rick- that's why I tend to focus energy more on OER and open textbooks - getting faculty to adopt them has a pretty immediate impact on student expenditures - and while it helps to have leaders support it - all you need are some faculty who are game to change

Leigh-Anne Yacovelli [Pottstown, PA]:@Joseph thanks

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:That's all well and good Stephen but even OER textbooks are a problem if faculty don't want to give up their royalties

Jonathan Harwell [Rollins College, Winter Park, FL]:yes, we have an internal grant to incentivize OER adoption/creation on campus

Rick Anderson [Salt Lake City, UT]:@Steven -- Same here on our campus. We have much more luck getting faculty and administrators' attention with OER than with OA publishing.

Zach English [Boca Raton, FL]:OER is a much less problematic (and more obviously appealing) area for faculty, I think.

Rick Davis [Towson, MD]:@Leigh-Anne - Peer review and OA are \*not\* mutually exclusive. That's a common misconception. Green OA can include peer-reviewed articles.

Leigh-Anne Yacovelli [Pottstown, PA]:@Rick what's the dif between gold and green?

Chris Parker [Chicago]:Conversation needs to avoid OA vs non-OA journals since many articles are published as open access within subscription titles

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:Other than Beall, where should oversight of OA journals occur? What is the role of the library community here?

Rick Davis [Towson, MD]:Green is authors self-archiving in OA repositories; gold is journals that are OA

Heather Tompkins [St. Paul, MN]:Thanks for sharing evaluation resources for OA journals.

Shirley Zhao [Salt Lake City, UT]:This is a great book on OA <https://mitpress.mit.edu/books/open-access>

Bruce Herbert [Texas A&M]:Good point Shannon - find your OA community on ResearchGate and Academia.edu

Inga Barnello [Le Moyne College, Syr, NY]:quality indicators from Boston College: <http://libguides.bc.edu/openaccess/journals>

Rick Davis [Towson, MD]:Yes, Suber's book is great and very readable!

Leigh-Anne Yacovelli [Pottstown, PA]:@Rick thanks

steven bell [philadelphia]:@Susan - that could be a barrier but I think most faculty realize they are not getting rich from book royalties - and we can divert institutional funds to subsidize faculty to publish open textbooks - probably more than most would ever earn in royalties from commercial publishers

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:like Wikipedia

SB Threatt [Oklahoma]:Thank you. And good points from the faculty perspective on reviewing journals to choose.

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:good list

Hasmik Galstyan [Yerevan, Armenia]:Thank you

Camille Gamboa [SAGE]:Hi all - just checked and yes, we can publish this chat along with the slides, and the webinar recording on the SAGE blog in about a week or so. We will also get to some of the Q&A we didn't get to

Timothy Deliyannides [Pittsburgh, PA]:<http://thinkchecksubmit.org/>

H. Stephen [UI Springfield]:in terms of CC licensing -- it needs to be more prominently displayed ....

Kim Windham [Tallahassee, FL]:creative commons makes so much sense in the arts!

steven bell [philadelphia]:Thanks for organizing this - always eye-opening and insightful to hear faculty discuss OA

Susan Ariew [Tampa, Florida]:sounds familiar

Leigh-Anne Yacovelli [Pottstown, PA]:HUmanities seem more OK with CC but fear OA, go figure.